



**FY2018 HUD COC PROGRAM COMPETITION
RENEWAL PROJECT APPLICATION
HOUSING PROJECTS**

AGENCY PROFILE	
Legal Name of Agency	Michigan Department of Health and Human Services
Project Name	Rapid Re-Housing Renewal
Project Start Date	10/1/19-9/30/20
Contact Person	Rebecca Tallarigo
Title	Housing Specialist
Address	235 S Grand Ave. Suite 1110, Lansing, MI 48933
Email	Tallarigor@michigan.gov
Phone	517-242-4308

Check one:

- Permanent Supportive Housing
- Rapid Re-Housing
- Joint Transitional Housing / Permanent Housing – Rapid Re-Housing

Renewal Application Option (check one):

- Standard Renewal (no change from FY17)
- Consolidation (must complete New Project Application in addition)
- Transition (must complete New Project Application in addition)
- Expansion (must complete New Project Application in addition)

Authorized Representative: *I hereby certify that the information contained in this proposal is true and accurate. Any falsification of information will render the application void, and the application will not be accepted. This application has been reviewed and authorized for submission by the agency's board of directors as of the date indicated.*

Name: Paula Kaiser Van Dam	Title: Bureau Director
Date of Board/Local Planning Body Authorization:	7/27/2018
Date of Anticipated Board/Local Planning Body Authorization:	

All projects requesting renewal must demonstrate they have met minimum project eligibility, capacity, timeliness, and performance standards to be considered for funding.

GENERAL PROJECT INFORMATION

1a. Provide a narrative describing how the project’s performance met the plans and goals established in the current project’s application, the project’s performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living, and record of success. (Include target populations and preferences as specified and/or allowed by the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) under which the project was initially funded.) If the renewing project has not yet started, provide a narrative of anticipated performance in these same areas based on experience with other related projects. (1000 word limit)

Rapid Re-Housing Program served a total of 480 individuals (351 adults and 128 children) during the grant term of 10/1/16-9/30/17 with 323 stayers and 157 leavers. During the grant term, an average of 100.5 units and 171 beds were filled at any given time. The approved HUD application for the grant term called for 144 units and 209 beds, which means that the unit utilization was on average 70% and the average bed utilization was 82%. This is the first full grant term completed through the Rapid Re-Housing Program. In its first month, 4 units were filled with 7 clients. By the last PIT count made in July of this grant term, 181 units were filled with 308 clients, giving a 126% unit utilization and a 147% bed utilization. This grant required a brief start up to then serve far beyond the grant requirements. In addition, 48% of clients exited the program with 1 or more sources of income.

1b. Use the last completed grant year APR for this and all other data/outcome measure questions. If the renewing project has not yet started, indicate the planned number of units per county.*

Please see the enclosed spreadsheet documenting the number of stayers and leavers per county.

County	Number of Units	Number of Stayers	Number of Leavers
Please see the enclosed spreadsheet documenting the number of stayers and leavers per county.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click or tap here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.
Click or tap here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.	Click here to enter text.

**Attach additional forms as needed to list all counties.*

2. Has the project had any significant changes since the last funding approval?

No If “yes”, complete the chart below to indicate the change.

Check change type		Previous	New
<input type="checkbox"/>	Decrease in the number of persons served		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in number of units		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in project site location		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in target population		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in component type		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Change in grantee/applicant		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Line item or cost category budget changes more than 10%		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: Click here to enter text.		
If change was made, include as many of the following that apply as attachments to your application:			
Attached (check)			
<input type="checkbox"/>	Attachment: Written communication to HUD requesting the significant change		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Attachment: HUD's written approval of the change requested		
<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A: HUD has not yet provided written approval of the requested change		

SECTION I: Project Effectiveness

3. Does the project serve priority populations (Veterans, Chronically Homeless, Families, Youth, Domestic Violence Survivors)? Enter the number of units dedicated or prioritized for each population at turnover.

	Number of Units		
	Dedicated	Dedicated Plus	Prioritized
Veterans	0	0	0
Chronically Homeless	0	0	3
Families	0	0	77
Youth	0	0	61
Domestic Violence	0	0	0

4. Low Barrier

To earn points as Low Barrier, the project must answer affirmatively to all the following questions.

Does the project ensure that participants are NOT screened out (or denied project entry) due to the following:	
Having too little or not enough income	Yes
Active substance use or history of substance abuse	Yes
Having a criminal record (other than for state-mandated restrictions)	Yes

Domestic violence (requiring survivor to take specific actions or demonstrate distance from assailant)	Yes
--	-----

5. Housing First

In addition to the answers above, a project must also answer affirmatively to the following questions to qualify as Housing First.

Does the project work to ensure that participants are NOT terminated from the program due to the following:	
Failure to participate in supportive services	Yes
Failure to make progress on a service plan	Yes
Loss of income or failure to improve income	Yes
Being a victim of domestic violence	Yes
Any other activity not typically covered in a lease agreement but found in the project's geographic area.	Yes
Does the project quickly move participants into permanent housing?	Yes

6. All recipients of HUD CoC Program funding are required to participate in Coordinated Entry. Did the project take 100% of all referrals from Coordinated Entry in the past grant year *or* will it once the grant year begins? Yes

7. What is the prioritization process for households referred to this project? How is it determined who is most vulnerable and the best fit for any referrals to this project? Provide detail from policy established by the Local Planning Body. (500 word limit)

MDHHS has all subrecipients follow the MIBOSCoC Prioritization Policy that was finalized in 2016. For Rapid Re-Housing clients, they must meet the eligibility of a VI-SPDAT in the RRH range or higher. Subrecipients prioritize occupancy first by the household with the longest history of homelessness and then by the highest SPDAT Score. MDHHS Rapid Re-Housing Programs are not dedicated to chronic homelessness, the subrecipients prioritize occupancy in the following order: 1. Household with the highest SPDAT 2. Household with the longest history of homelessness 3. Housing hold with disabilities coming from the streets or shelter 4. Households with disabilities coming from transitional housing, but previously resided on the streets or in shelter. Subrecipients house clients through the Coordinated Entry System within their Local Planning Body to ensure clients with the highest need are housed first and quickly.

Efficient Use of Funding (If the renewing project has not yet started, share information from the last completed year of another HUD funded project or similarly designed project through this agency)

8. What was the project's utilization rate? (Average of Quarterly Point- in-Time Counts in APR 9 divided by total contracted units.) This data is based on the first year of this grant, which runs 10/1/16-9/30/17. Average unit utilization was 100.5 of 144 units or 70%. Average bed utilization was 171 of 209 beds or 82%. When broken down by quarterly counts, unit utilization is as follows: October: 2.7%, January

51.4%, April 99.3%, July 125.7% and bed utilization is as follows: October 3.3%, January 62.2%, April 114%, July 147%. As you can see, the unit and bed utilization were low at grant start up but are now above 100% through the end of the grant term.

9. Expenditure of Funds: Use last **completed** HUD FY year.

RRP was a new grant starting on 10/1/16, spending started off slowly because it took some time to fill units on a new grant. Unit utilization was very low to start, causing the delay in spending. However, by the end of the grant term, unit utilization was at 125.7%. If renewed, we anticipate the percentage of recaptured funds to be minimal.

a. Total amount authorized within eLOCCS	\$1,213,700
b. Remaining balance in eLOCCS	\$505,522.90
c. Percentage recaptured Divide answer b. by answer a. and multiply by 100	41.6%

10. Were drawdowns made to eLOCCS at least quarterly? (*Demonstrated in eLOCCS attachment*)

No

There was an error in the federal reporting department that caused HUD draws to be done incorrectly. This resulted in a correction needing to be made with steps from HUD to complete. This delayed the draws from being completed on a quarterly basis. MDHHS has now created a checks and balances system that requires multiple steps of review prior to the completion of any draws in ELOCCS. MDHHS Housing and Homeless Services financial staff work in tandem with federal reporting staff to ensure that draws are done correctly and timely.

HMIS Participation (*If the renewing project has not yet started, share information from the last completed year of another HUD funded project or similarly designed project through this agency*)

11. Indicate how many APR Data Quality Elements (DQE) have 5% or less null or missing values (*APR Q06*):

Data Quality Element APR 6a.-6d.			
Number of elements with 5% or less null or missing values			
DQE 6a.	DQE 6b.	DQE 6c.	DQE 6d.
5	5	2	3
Total the numbers above, divide by 16, multiply by 100 for a percent: 93.8%			

HUD Monitoring

12. a. Does the recipient have any HUD monitoring findings in any of the agency's projects? **Yes**

If yes, explain below findings in detail for the Funding Review Panel. Include details on the nature of the finding, resolution and corrective actions taken, if any.

Findings and corrective actions taken by MDHHS for the HOPWA grant are enclosed in this application. There were no findings for the RPSH grant.

b. Has your organization been monitored by HUD in the past three (3) years? **Yes**

If yes, include as attachments: Monitoring report from HUD, your organization’s response to any findings, documentation from HUD that finding or concern has been satisfied, and any other relevant documentation.

If no, provide most recent monitoring by an entity other than HUD for federal or state funding (ESG, CDBG, etc) and include as attachments: Monitoring report, your organization’s response to any findings, documentation from HUD that finding or concern has been satisfied, and any other relevant documentation.

MDHHS has been monitored by HUD for the Rural PSH (August 2016) and HOPWA (April 2016) grants. MIBOSCoC (formally MHAAB) monitored MDHHS on June 30, 2017 by Jennifer Therrien, the former CoC Coordinator. Documentation of the monitoring is enclosed.

Impact on Homelessness

13. Is this project the only CoC funded project with dedicated beds to a particular target population?
Answered by Project Evaluation based on all applications submitted for this NOFA.

14. Funds that are reallocated may be added to renewal projects to increase the number of households served. If funding is available:

Would this project accept additional funds? Yes No

How would additional households be served with these funds?

Additional funds would be utilized to serve current clients and meet current housing cost challenges as well as serve new eligible clients in the counties with the greatest need per HMIS statewide data. When looking at data for this grant’s first full year, it is at a unit utilization rate of 125.7%. Spending rate trends show an increase each quarter of the grant. Additional funds will likely be needed to fill the needs of the clients in the areas covered by this grant. If applicable, proportionate supportive services and administration costs would be allocated according to the allocated rental assistance funds.

Serving High Need Populations – PSH PROJECTS ONLY *(If the renewing project has not yet started, share information from the last completed year of another HUD funded project or similarly designed project through this agency)*

15. What percentage of the households served met “hard to serve” criteria defined as having zero income at start/entry? *(APR 18. Add values for No Income and divide by Total in last row):*

NA

16. What percentage of the households served met “hard to serve” criteria defined as having two (2) or more physical or mental health conditions known at start/entry *(APR 13.a.2. add totals for two and three or more conditions, then divide by total):*

NA

17. What percentage of the households served were chronically homeless? (APR Q26a. divide total chronically homeless by total households):

NA

Section II. Project Performance

Performance Data (If the renewing project has not yet started, share information from the last completed year of another HUD funded project or similarly designed project through this agency)

18. Length of Stay

a. Permanent Supportive Housing: Calculate the percentage of leavers that remained in project more than 180 days (APR 22a.1)

NA

b. Rapid Re-Housing: Calculate the percentage of participants that took 30 days or less from project entry to lease up (CAPER 22C)

46.7% or 224 of 480 clients. Only 22.7% were housed after 31 days or more. 27.5% of clients were housed in 7 days or less.

19. Exits to Permanent Housing

a. Permanent Supportive Housing: Calculate the percentage of participants who remained in project, or exited to permanent housing destinations. (Total Persons Exiting to Positive Housing Destinations APR Q23.a.+ Q23b. + Stayers 5.a.8/ [Total Served 5.a.1. – Excluded Q23.a. + Q23.b.]

NA

b. Rapid Re-Housing: Calculate the percentage of participants who exited to permanent housing destinations (Total Persons Exiting to Positive Housing Destinations APR Q23.a.+ Q23b./ [Total Leavers 5.a.5. – Excluded Q23.a. + Q23.b.]

64.3% of total leavers exited to permanent housing destinations.

20. New or Increased Income and Earned Income

a. PSH Only Project Stayers: What percent of project stayers had new or increased earned income with in the project contract year? APR 19a.1

NA

b. PSH Only Project Stayers: What percent of project stayers had new or increased other (non-employment) income? APR 19a.1

NA

c. Project Leavers: What percent of project leavers had new or increased earned income? APR 19a.2

8%

d. Project Leavers: What percent of project leavers had new or increased other (non-employment) income? *APR 19a.2*

9%

Financial Information

PROJECT BUDGET

Activity	Requested Funds	% of Requested Funds	Other Funding	Total Project Cost
Acquisition		%		
New Construction		%		
Rehabilitation		%		
Leasing		%		
Rental Assistance	\$868,668	70 %	\$273,370 (Match)	\$1,142,038
Supportive Services	\$303,067	24 %	\$9,820 (Match)	\$312,887
Operating Costs		%		
HMIS		%		
Project Administration (limited to 7%)	\$79,813	6%	\$29,697 (Match)	\$109,510
Total Project Cost	\$1,251,548		\$312,887	\$1,564,435

Attachment A

Identify all match and leveraging funds. Only those dollars or non-cash contributions (in-kind) that directly support the project should be listed. This may include federal, state, or local government funds, private funds, grants, and/or other sources, including donations. Worksheet should reflect information in eSnaps application.

Match must be at least 25% of total funding requested. Documentation of match must be provided with the application.

Resource	Cash or In Kind	Committed or Planned/ Pending	Available (MM/YY)	Amount/ Value	% of HUD Project Award	Serves as CoC Program Match? (Y/N)
MSHDA	Cash	Committed	10/19	\$150,000	12%	Yes
MDHHS-Medicaid	Cash	Committed	10/18	\$162,887	13%	Yes
	Cash/Kind	C/PP	MM/YY		%	Yes/No
	Cash/Kind	C/PP	MM/YY		%	Yes/No
	Cash/Kind	C/PP	MM/YY		%	Yes/No
	Cash/Kind	C/PP	MM/YY		%	Yes/No
	Cash/Kind	C/PP	MM/YY		%	Yes/No
	Cash/Kind	C/PP	MM/YY		%.	Yes/No
Total leveraged from other sources					25%	

Attach additional forms as necessary

Attachment B

Attachments listed below are required but unscored. Failure to include any documentation that is required may result in disqualification of the application. *Please number all attachments in accordance with the list below.*

All projects must include:

- #1: Annual Progress Report (APR) for the project's most recent completed contract year, *or* the most recently completed contract year for another HUD-funded project or similar project if the renewing project has not yet started.
- #2: Line of Credit Control System (LOCCS) report showing drawdowns and final balance
- #3: Project Application submitted in *e-snaps*
- #4: Documentation of all match

Each applicant must include one of the following two (#5):

- Monitoring report from US Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)
- Monitoring report from an entity other than HUD for federal or state funding (ESG, CDBG, etc)

If relevant include (#6):

- A: Organization's response to any findings
- B: Documentation from HUD (or other entity) that finding or concern has been satisfied
- C: Any other relevant documentation
- D: Written communication to HUD requesting the significant change indicated in question 2.
- E: HUD's written approval of the change requested in question 2.

Attachment C

HUD General Section Certificates

The agency certifies to the Balance of State Continuum of Care that it and its principals are in compliance with the following requirements as indicated by checking the box.

- Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity*. See CFR 578.93 for specific requirements related to Fair Housing and Equal Opportunity.
- Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity*. See the Federal Register dated February 1, 2012, Docket No. FR 5359-F-02 and Section V.C.1.f. of the FY 2017 General Section.
- Debarment and Suspension*. See Section III.C.4.c. of the FY 2015 General Section. Additionally, it is the responsibility of the recipient to ensure that all subrecipients are not debarred or suspended. (24 CFR 578.23((3)(c)(4)(v).d. Delinquent Federal Debts. See Section V.B.3. of the FY 2017 General Section.
- Compliance with Fair Housing and Civil Rights*. See Section V.C.1.a. of the FY 2017 General Section.
- Executive Order 13166, "Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency (LEP)*. See Section V.C.1.d. of the FY 2017 General Section.
- Economic Opportunities for Low- and Very Low-income Persons (Section 3)*. See Section V.C.1.c. of the FY 2017 General Section.
- Conducting Business in Accordance with Core Values and Ethical Standards/Code of Conduct*. See Section V.C.15. of the FY 2017 General Section.
- Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities*. See Section V.C.15. of the FY 2017 General Section.
- HUD Habitability Standards inspections* on all units, at a minimum.
- Participation in HUD-Sponsored Program Evaluation*. See Section V.C.5. of the FY 2017 General Section.
- Environmental Requirements*. Notwithstanding provisions at 24 CFR 578.31 and 24 CFR 578.99(a) of the CoC Program interim rule, and in accordance with Section 100261(3) of MAP-21 (Pub. L. 112-141, 126 Stat. 405), activities under this NOFA are subject to environmental review by a responsible entity under HUD regulations at 24 CFR part 58.
- Drug-Free Workplace*. See Section VI.B.9. of the FY 2015 General Section. n. Safeguarding Resident/Client Files. See Section V.C.11 of the FY 2017 General Section.
- Compliance with the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (Pub. L. 209-282) (Transparency Act), as amended*. See Section V.C.13. of the FY 2017 General Section.
- Lead-Based Paint Requirements*. For housing constructed before 1978 (with certain statutory and regulatory exceptions), CoC Program recipients must comply with the requirements of the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (42 U.S.C. 4801, et seq.), as amended by the Residential Lead-Based

Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992 (42 U.S.C. 4851, et seq.); and implementing regulations of HUD, at 24 CFR part 35; the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) at 40 CFR part 745, or State/Tribal lead rules implemented under EPA authorization; and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration at 29 CFR 1926.62 and 29 CFR 1910.1025.

Attestation that all attachments as required by HUD are uploaded in *e-snaps*. See Notice of Funding Availability for the 2018 Continuum of Care Program Competition FR-6200-N-25.

This list is not exhaustive of all HUD requirements. Applicants are encouraged to review the 2018 General Section, found at: https://www.hud.gov/program_offices/spm/gmomgmt/grantsinfo/fundingopps to ensure eligibility.

Agency: Michigan Department of Health and Human Services

Acknowledged By: Paula Kaiser Van Dam

Title: Bureau Director

Date: 7/27/2018