

Before Starting the Project Listings for the CoC Priority Listing

The FY 2018 CoC Consolidated Application requires TWO submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Application MUST be submitted prior to the CoC Program Competition deadline as required by the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA.

The FY 2018 CoC Priority Listing includes the following:

- Reallocation forms – must be fully completed if the CoC is reallocating eligible renewal projects to create new projects as described in the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA.
- New Project Listing – lists all new project applications created through reallocation, the bonus, and DV Bonus that have been approved and ranked or rejected by the CoC.
- Renewal Project Listing – lists all eligible renewal project applications that have been approved and ranked or rejected by the CoC.
- UFA Costs Project Listing – applicable and only visible for Collaborative Applicants that were designated as a Unified Funding Agency (UFA) during the FY 2018 CoC Program Registration process. Only 1 UFA Costs project application is permitted and can only be submitted by the Collaborative Applicant.
- CoC Planning Project Listing – Only 1 CoC planning project is permitted per CoC and can only be submitted by the Collaborative Applicant.
- HUD-2991, Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan – Collaborative Applicants must attach an accurately completed, signed, and dated HUD-2991.

Things to Remember:

- All new and renewal projects must be approved and ranked or rejected on the Project Listings.
- Collaborative Applicants are responsible for ensuring all project applications are accurately appearing on the Project Listings and there are no project applications missing from one or more Project Listings.
- If a project application(s) is rejected by the CoC, the Collaborative Applicant must notify the affected project applicant(s) no later than 15 days before the CoC Program Competition application deadline outside of e-snaps and include the reason for rejection.
- For each project application rejected by the CoC the Collaborative Applicant must select the reason for the rejection from the dropdown provided.
- If the Collaborative Applicant needs to amend a project application for any reason after ranking has been completed, the ranking of other projects will not be affected; however, the Collaborative Applicant MUST ensure the amended project is returned to the applicable Project Listing AND re-rank the project application BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing to HUD in e-snaps.

Additional training resources are available online on the CoC Training page of the HUD Exchange at: <https://www.hudexchange.info/e-snaps/guides/coc-program-competition-resources/>

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

Instructions:

The fields on this screen are read only and reference the information entered during the CoC Registration process. Updates cannot be made at this time. If the information on this screen is not correct, contact the HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at <https://www.hudexchange.info/ask-a-question/>.

Collaborative Applicant Name: Michigan State Housing Development Authority

2. Reallocation

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2018 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at <https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/>.

2-1. 2-1. Is the CoC reallocating funds from one or more eligible renewal grant(s) that will expire in calendar year 2019 into one or more new projects? Yes

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs that are reallocating eligible renewal project funds to create a new project application – as detailed in the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA – may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs that are eliminating eligible renewal projects entirely must identify those projects on this form.

Amount Available for New Project: (Sum of All Eliminated Projects)				
\$119,519				
Eliminated Project Name	Grant Number Eliminated	Component Type	Annual Renewal Amount	Type of Reallocation
MHAAB 2017 Dedic...	MI0558L5F001700	PH	\$119,519	Regular

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2018 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at <https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/>.

*** 3-1. Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant that is being eliminated during the FY 2017 reallocation process. Collaborative Applicants should refer to the final HUD-approved FY 2017 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered on this form is accurate.**

Eliminated Project Name: MHAAB 2017 Dedicated Plus PSH Project

Grant Number of Eliminated Project: MI0558L5F001700

Eliminated Project Component Type: PH

Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount: \$119,519

3-2. Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated and include the date the project applicant was notified. (limit 750 characters)

Project voluntarily reallocated due to capacity constraints for match and service delivery.

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs that are reallocating eligible renewal project funds to create a new project application – as detailed in the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA – may do so by reducing one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs that are reducing eligible renewal projects entirely must identify those projects on this form.

Amount Available for New Project (Sum of All Reduced Projects)					
\$0					
Reduced Project Name	Reduced Grant Number	Annual Renewal Amount	Amount Retained	Amount available for new project	Reallocation Type
This list contains no items					

5. Reallocation - New Project(s)

Collaborative Applicants must complete each field on this form that identifies the new project(s) the CoC created through the reallocation process.

Sum of All New Reallocated Project Requests
(Must be less than or equal to total amount(s) eliminated and/or reduced)

\$119,519				
Current Priority #	New Project Name	Component Type	Transferred Amount	Reallocation Type
9	PSH Dedicate...	PH	\$119,519	Regular

5. Reallocation - New Project(s) Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2018 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at <https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/>.

5-1. Complete each of the fields below for each new project created through reallocation in the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition. For list of all eligible types of new projects that may be created through the reallocation process, see the FY 2018 CoC Program Competition NOFA.

FY 2018 Rank (from Project Listing): 9

Proposed New Project Name: PSH Dedicated Plus Expansion

Component Type: PH

Amount Requested for New Project: \$119,519

6. Reallocation: Balance Summary

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2018 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at <https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/>.

6-1 Below is a summary of the information entered on the eliminated and reduced reallocation forms. The last field on this form, “Remaining Reallocation Balance” should equal zero. If there is a positive balance remaining, this means the amount of funds being eliminated or reduced are greater than the amount of funds request for the new reallocated project(s). If there is a negative balance remaining, this means that more funds are being requested for the new reallocated project(s) than have been reduced or eliminated from other eligible renewal projects.

Reallocation Chart: Reallocation Balance Summary

Reallocated funds available for new project(s):	\$119,519
Amount requested for new project(s):	\$119,519
Remaining Reallocation Balance:	\$0

Continuum of Care (CoC) New Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, Collaborative Applicants should carefully review the "CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions" and the "CoC Project Listing Instructional Guide", both of which are available at: <https://www.hudexchange.info/e-snaps/guides/coc-program-competition-resources>.

To upload all new project applications that have been submitted to this CoC Project Listing, click on the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of new projects submitted that need to be located in the e-snaps system. The Collaborative Applicant may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. The Collaborative Applicant can wait for the Project Listings to be updated or can log out of e-snaps and come back later to view the updated list(s). To review a project on the New Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If there are errors identified by the Collaborative Applicant, the project can be amended back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking on the amend icon. The Collaborative Applicant has the sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted and appear on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Comp Type	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Grant Term	Rank	PH/Reallocation	PSH/RRH	Expansion
2018 DV TH PH-RRH	2018-08-17 15:21:...	Joint TH & PH-RRH	Michigan Department...	\$653,648	1 Year	23			
PSH Dedicated Plu...	2018-09-14 10:22:...	PH	Michigan Department...	\$119,519	1 Year	9	Reallocation	PSH	Yes
Coordinated Entry...	2018-09-14 13:08:...	SSO	Michigan State Ho...	\$529,931	1 Year	24	PH Bonus		

Continuum of Care (CoC) Renewal Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the New Project Listing, Collaborative Applicants should carefully review the "CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions" and the "CoC Project Listing Instructional Guide", both of which are available at: <https://www.hudexchange.info/e-snaps/guides/coc-program-competition-resources>.

To upload all renewal project applications that have been submitted to this Renewal Project Listing, click on the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes based upon the number of renewal projects that need to be located in the e-snaps system. The Collaborative Applicant may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. The Collaborative Applicant can wait for the Project Listings to be updated or can log out of e-snaps and come back later to view the updated list(s). To review a project on the Renewal Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to each project to view project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If there are errors identified by the Collaborative Applicant, the project can be amended back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking on the amend icon. The Collaborative Applicant has the sole responsibility for ensuring all amended projects are resubmitted and appear on this project listing BEFORE submitting the CoC Priority Listing in e-snaps.

The Collaborative Applicant certifies that there is a demonstrated need for all renewal permanent supportive housing and rapid re-housing projects listed on the Renewal Project Listing.

The Collaborative Applicant does not have any renewal permanent supportive housing or rapid re-housing renewal projects.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Rank ▲	PSH/RRH	Comp Type	Consolidation Type
Michigan Statewid...	2018-08-08 14:08:...	1 Year	Michigan State Ho...	\$652,700	1		HMIS	
2018 MDHHS MHAAB ...	2018-08-21 13:51:...	1 Year	Michigan Departme..	\$2,347,938	10	PSH	PH	
ACCMHS - MI500 Co...	2018-07-27 09:20:...	1 Year	Allegan County Co...	\$26,188	11	PSH	PH	

West Bridge Perma...	2018-08-22 10:56:...	1 Year	Eastern Upper Pen...	\$123,196	12	PSH	PH	
Stability and Bey...	2018-08-16 14:56:...	1 Year	Channel Housing M...	\$65,448	13	RRH	PH	
Homeless Re-Housi...	2018-07-26 13:58:...	1 Year	Human Developm ent...	\$248,797	15	RRH	PH	Individual
Homeless Re-Housi...	2018-07-26 11:23:...	1 Year	Human Developm ent...	\$68,503	16	RRH	PH	Individual
Alger-Marquette C...	2018-08-15 10:47:...	1 Year	Alger Marquette C...	\$217,103	17		Joint TH & PH-RRH	
Rapid Rehousing S...	2018-08-14 11:40:...	1 Year	EightCAP, Inc.	\$61,516	18	RRH	PH	
MSHDA FY18 Coordi...	2018-09-14 12:45:...	1 Year	Michigan State Ho...	\$341,000	2		SSO	
2018 MDHHS MHAAB RRH	2018-07-27 15:22:...	1 Year	Michigan Departme..	\$2,189,464	20	RRH	PH	Individual
Rapid Re-Housing ...	2018-07-27 15:12:...	1 Year	Michigan Departme..	\$1,251,548	21	RRH	PH	Individual
ACCMHS - RRH FY 1...	2018-07-27 09:19:...	1 Year	Allegan County Co...	\$100,762	22	RRH	PH	
HMIS Ren 18	2018-08-08 12:55:...	1 Year	Michigan State Ho...	\$136,764	3		HMIS	
Ending Family Hom...	2018-07-27 15:33:...	1 Year	Capital Area Comm...	\$100,348	4	RRH	PH	
Hillsdale County ...	2018-07-27 12:42:...	1 Year	Communit y Action ...	\$133,172	5	PSH	PH	
ACCMHS - PSH/Dedi..	2018-07-27 09:20:...	1 Year	Allegan County Co...	\$138,015	6	PSH	PH	
Housing Services ...	2018-07-25 11:13:...	1 Year	Housing Services ...	\$99,030	7	PSH	PH	
PSH 2004 Statewid...	2018-08-31 11:59:...	1 Year	Michigan Departme..	\$398,987	8	PSH	PH	
Homeless Re-Housi...	2018-07-26 13:59:...	1 Year	Human Developm ent...	\$317,300	C14	RRH	PH	Fully Consolidat ed

2018 Consolidat ed...	2018-08- 31 14:27:...	1 Year	Michigan Departme.. .	\$3,441,01 2	C19	RRH	PH	Fully Consolidat ed
-----------------------------	-----------------------------	--------	-----------------------------	-----------------	-----	-----	----	---------------------------

Continuum of Care (CoC) Planning Project Listing

Instructions:

Prior to starting the CoC Planning Project Listing, Collaborative Applicants should carefully review the "CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions" and the "CoC Project Listing Instructional Guide," both of which are available at: <https://www.hudexchange.info/e-snaps/guides/coc-program-competition-resources>.

To upload the CoC planning project application that has been submitted to this CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the "Update List" button. This process may take a few minutes as the project will need to be located in the e-snaps system. The Collaborative Applicant may update each of the Project Listings simultaneously. The Collaborative Applicant can wait for the Project Listings to be updated or can log out of e-snaps and come back later to view the updated list(s). To review the CoC Planning Project Listing, click on the magnifying glass next to view the project details. To view the actual project application, click on the orange folder. If there are errors identified by the Collaborative Applicant, the project can be amended back to the project applicant to make the necessary changes by clicking on the amend icon.

Only one CoC Planning project application can be submitted by a Collaborative Applicant and must match the Collaborative Applicant information on the CoC Applicant Profile. Any additional CoC Planning project applications must be rejected.

Project Name	Date Submitted	Grant Term	Applicant Name	Budget Amount	Comp Type
MI-500 CoC Planni...	2018-09-12 10:12:...	1 Year	Michigan State Ho...	\$264,976	CoC Planning Proj...

Funding Summary

Instructions

For additional information, carefully review the "CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions" and the "CoC Priority Listing Instructional Guide", both of which are available at: <https://www.hudexchange.info/e-snaps/guides/coc-program-competition-resources>.

This page contains the total budget summaries for each of the project listings for which the Collaborative Applicant approved and ranked or rejected project applications. The Collaborative Applicant must review this page to ensure the totals for each of the categories is accurate. The "Total CoC Request" indicates the total funding request amount the Collaborative Applicant will submit to HUD for funding consideration. As stated previously, only 1 UFA Cost project application (for UFA designated Collaborative Applicants only) and only 1 CoC Planning project application can be submitted and only the Collaborative Applicant designated by the CoC is eligible to request these funds.

Title	Total Amount
Renewal Amount	\$8,700,479
Consolidated Amount	\$3,758,312
New Amount	\$1,303,098
CoC Planning Amount	\$264,976
Rejected Amount	\$0
TOTAL CoC REQUEST	\$10,268,553

Attachments

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan	Yes	MI-500 Certificat...	09/17/2018
FY 2017 Rank (from Project Listing)	No	MI-500 Ranking To...	09/17/2018
Other	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: MI-500 Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

Attachment Details

Document Description: MI-500 Ranking Tool Objective Score Cards

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

WARNING: The FY2017 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

WARNING: The FY2017 CoC Consolidated Application requires 2 submissions. Both this Project Priority Listing AND the CoC Consolidated Application MUST be submitted.

Page	Last Updated
Before Starting	No Input Required
1A. Identification	09/13/2018
2. Reallocation	09/13/2018
3. Grant(s) Eliminated	09/13/2018
4. Grant(s) Reduced	No Input Required
5. New Project(s)	09/13/2018
6. Balance Summary	No Input Required
7A. CoC New Project Listing	09/14/2018
7B. CoC Renewal Project Listing	09/14/2018

7D. CoC Planning Project Listing	09/13/2018
Funding Summary	No Input Required
Attachments	09/17/2018
Submission Summary	No Input Required

Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan

U.S. Department of Housing
and Urban Development

I certify that the proposed activities/projects in the application are consistent with the jurisdiction's current, approved Consolidated Plan.
(Type or clearly print the following information:)

Applicant Name: Michigan Balance of State Continuum of Care

Project Name: See Attached

Location of the Project: State of Michigan - Balance of State (See Attached)

Name of the Federal Program to which the applicant is applying: HUD Continuum of Care

Name of Certifying Jurisdiction: State of Michigan

Certifying Official of the Jurisdiction Name: Kelly Rose

Title: Chief Housing Solutions Officer, Michigan State Housing Dev Auth

Signature: 

Date: 09/13/2018

MI-500 Michigan Balance of State
 Certification of Consistency with the Consolidated Plan
 9/13/2018

Applicant Name	Contact Name	Contact Email	Contact Phone	Location	Project Name	Funding	Grant Number
Michigan State Housing Development Authority	Janet Irler	irler@michigan.gov	(517) 335-3038	Balance of State	CoC Planning Grant	\$ 264,976.00	N/A
1 Michigan State Housing Development Authority	Rose Meyer	meyer3@michigan.gov	(517) 241-1291	Statewide	Michigan Statewide HMIS	\$ 652,700.00	MI000915F003710
2 Michigan State Housing Development Authority	Janet Irler	irler@michigan.gov	(517) 335-3038	Balance of State	Coordinated Entry	\$ 341,000.00	MI055915F001700
3 Michigan State Housing Development Authority	Rose Meyer	meyer3@michigan.gov	(517) 241-1291	Statewide	HMIS Ren 18	\$ 136,764.00	MI000715F001710
4 Capital Area Community Services	Pamela Elise	pelise@caacs-inc.org	(517) 393-1722	Clinton/Shawsee Counties	Ending Family Homelessness through Rapid Re-Housing - Clinton and Hillsdale County Permanent	\$ 100,348.00	MI043415F001703
5 Community Action Agency	Laura Reaume	lreaume@caailh.org	(517) 784-4800	Hillsdale County	Supportive Housing (PSH) Scattered PSH/DedicatedPlus FY18 Renewal	\$ 133,172.00	MI056215F001700
6 Health Services	Nikki McLaughlin	nmclaughlin@accmhs.org	(269) 673-3384	Allegan County	PSH/DedicatedPlus FY18 Renewal	\$ 138,015.00	MI056315F001700
7 Housing Services Mid Michigan	Denise Dunn	ddunn@hs-mm.org	(517) 541-1180	Clinton County	Housing Services Mid Michigan-Clinton PSH	\$ 99,030.00	MI056115F001700
8 Michigan Department of Health and Human Services	Paula Kaiser Vandam	kaiserp@michigan.gov	(517) 241-0683	Balance of State	PSH Statewide Leasing	\$ 411,519.00	MI001715F001710
9 Michigan Department of Health and Human Services	Paula Kaiser Vandam	kaiserp@michigan.gov	(517) 241-0638	Balance of State	2018 MDHHS MHAAB PSH Dedicated Plus	\$ 2,467,457.00	MI056115F001700
10 Allegan County Community Mental Health Services	Nikki McLaughlin	nmclaughlin@accmhs.org	(269) 673-3384	Allegan County	ACCMHS - MI500 CoC FY 18 Renewal	\$ 26,188.00	MI046315F001702
11 Eastern Upper Peninsula Veterans Foundation	Linda LaFord	llaford@cmrca.com	(906) 632-3363	Chippewa County	West Bridge Permanent Supportive Housing	\$ 123,196.00	MI002615F001710
12 Channel Housing Ministries, Inc./dba OCCANA'S HOME Partnership	Kirtie Tunstra	kip.tunstra@oceananet.org	(231) 873-2222	Lake/Mason/Newaygo/Oceana Counties	Stability and Beyond Program	\$ 65,448.00	MI046215F001702
13 Human Development Commission	Lori Offenbecher	lorio@hdc-cmra.org	(989) 673-4121	Huron/Lapeer/Sanilac/Tuscola Counties	Homeless Rehousing Program Consolidated FY2018	\$ 317,300.00	MI051615F001701
14 Board	Rod Desjardins	rdesjardins@amcsh.org	(906) 288-6522	Region 1 - Balance of State	Alger-Marquette CAA Central UP RRH Renewal	\$ 217,103.00	MI056015F001700
15 EightCAP, Inc	Christa Jerome	christaj@8cap.org	(616) 754-9315	Ionia/Montcalm Counties	Rapid Rehousing SH Ionia/Montcalm FY2018	\$ 61,516.00	MI043515F001703
16 Michigan Department of Health and Human Services	Paula Kaiser Vandam	kaiserp@michigan.gov	(517) 241-0638	Balance of State	2018 Consolidated Rapid Re-Housing	\$ 3,441,012.00	MI056615F001700
17 Allegan County Community Mental Health Services	Nikki McLaughlin	nmclaughlin@accmhs.org	(269) 673-3384	Allegan County	ACCMHS - RRH FY 18 Renewal	\$ 100,762.00	MI056415F001700
18 Michigan Department of Health and Human Services	Paula Kaiser Vandam	kaiserp@michigan.gov	(517) 241-0638	Balance of State	2018 DV TH PH-RRH	\$ 633,648.00	N/A
19 Michigan State Housing Development Authority	Janet Irler	irler@michigan.gov	(517) 335-3038	Balance of State	Coordinated Entry Outreach	\$ 529,931.00	N/A



**FY2018 HUD COC PROGRAM COMPETITION
RENEWAL PROJECT SCORECARD
HOUSING PROJECTS**

Applicant and Project Name: Click here to enter text.

Rater Name: Click here to enter text.

Date Reviewed: Click or tap to enter a date.

Project Quality Requirements		
Renewal projects must ensure they continue to meet HUD thresholds for funding.	Maximum Score Possible	PSH – 125 RRH - 95
	Total Project Score	
	Minimum Threshold Score	PSH – 87 RRH – 66.5

Please note at the numbered questions on the application correspond with the numbered scoring areas below.

Section I: Project Effectiveness		Possible Points: PSH – 70 RRH – 40 Possible Deductions: -5	Section Score:
3. How many beds are dedicated/prioritized for priority population(s)?			
	Veterans	Prioritized by Ranking Tool	
	Chronic		
	Domestic Violence		
	Youth		
	Families		
4. Does the project qualify as low barrier?			
	Yes	4	
	No	0	
5. Does the project meet all Housing First criteria?			
	Yes	6	
	No	0	
6. Did the project take 100% of all referrals from Coordinated Entry in the past grant year?			
	Yes	5	
	No	0	
7. Prioritization and Referral Process			
	Proof of Prioritization and Referral Process	5	
	No Information Provided	0	
Efficient Use of Funding:			
8. What is the project's utilization rate?			
	95% or higher	5	
	80%-94%	0	
	79% or lower	-5	
9. Percent of funding recaptured in last completed grant year			
a. Amount authorized in most recent completed HUD grant year			
b. Remaining balance in eLOCCS			
	7% or less	5	
	8% or more	0	

10. Were drawdowns made at least quarterly?		
Yes	5	
No	0	
HMIS Participation		
11. Percentage of APR Data Quality Elements (6a.-6d.) with 5% or less null or missing values		
85% or greater	5	
Less than 84%	0	
HUD Monitoring Findings		
12. Does the recipient have any HUD monitoring findings in any of the agency's projects? If yes, findings must be resolved or explained to the satisfaction of the Funding Review Panel for the application to meet standards.	Standard Met: Yes/No	
Impact on Homelessness		
13. Is this project the only CoC funded project with dedicated beds to a particular target population? (Answered by Project Evaluation based on all applications submitted for this NOFA.)		
Yes		Not Scored Taken into consideration in a tie score situation
No		
14. Would additional funds be accepted?		
Yes		Not Scored
No		
Serving High Need Populations – PSH ONLY		
15. What percentage of the households met "hard to serve" criteria defined as having zero income at Start/entry? (APR 23)		
80% or more	10	
70-%79%	8	
50%-69%	5	
Less than 50%	0	
16. What percentage of participants met "hard to serve" criteria defined as having two or more physical or mental health conditions known at Start/entry? (APR 13.A.2)		
More than 50%	10	
30%-49%	8	
10%-29%	5	
Less than 10%	0	
17. What percentage of the households served were chronically homeless? (APR Q26a.)		
80% or more	10	
70-%79%	8	
50%-69%	5	
Less than 50%	0	

Section II: Project Performance		Possible Points: 55	Section Score:
Performance Data			
18. Length of Stay			
PSH—Percentage of leavers that remained in project more than 180 days (APR 22a.1)			
95% and more	20		
85%-94%	15		

	75%-84%	10	
	65%-74%	5	
	55%-64%	0	
RRH—Percentage of participants that took 30 days or less from project entry to lease up (CAPER 22C)			
	80%-100%	20	
	60%-79%	15	
	40%-59%	10	
	20%-39%	5	
	0%-19%	0	
19. Exits to Permanent Housing			
PSH - Percent who remain in or move to PH			
	95% or higher	25	
	90%-94%	20	
	85%-89%	15	
	80%-84%	10	
	75%-79%	5	
	Less than 75%	0	
RRH - Percent who exit to PH			
	95% or higher	25	
	90%-94%	20	
	85%-89%	15	
	80%-84%	10	
	75%-79%	5	
	Less than 75%	0	
20. New or Increased Income and Earned Income			
a. PSH Project Stayers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.1)			
	8% and higher	2.5	
	5%-7%	1.5	
	Less than 5%	0	
b. PSH Project Stayers: New or increased other (non-employment) income (APR 19a.1)			
	50% and higher	2.5	
	25%-49%	1.5	
	Less than 25%	0	
c. PSH Project Leavers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.2)			
	8% and higher	2.5	
	5%-7%	1.5	
	Less than 5%	0	
c. RRH Project Leavers: New or increased earned income (APR 19a.2)			
	30% and higher	5	
	20%-29%	2.5	
	Less than 20%	0	
d. PSH Project Leavers: New or increased non-employment income (APR 19a.2)			
	50% and higher	2.5	
	25%-49%	1.5	
	Less than 25%	0	
d. RRH Project Leavers: New or increased non-employment income (APR 19a.2)			
	10% and higher	5	
	5%-9%	2.5	
	Less than 5%	0	

Section III: Completion of Application		Possible Points: 0 Deductions: -10 Minimum: -5	Section Score:
21. Is the application complete and accurate?			
	Yes	0	
	No	-5	
22. Are all required attachments provided?			
	Yes	0	
	No	-5	



FY18 HUD COC PROGRAM COMPETITION

NEW, RENEWAL, or BONUS PROJECT SCORECARD

INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS

Applicant and Project Name: Click or tap here to enter text.

Rater Name: Click or tap here to enter text.

Date Reviewed: Click or tap to enter a date.

Project Quality Requirements		
All new and bonus projects must pass threshold requirements before being considered for funding on the merit of the project. Threshold requirements are pass/fail rather than scored.	Maximum Score Possible	125
	Total Project Score	
	Minimum Threshold Score	87.5
Section I: Project Overview		Possible Points: 15
Section Score:		
1.a. Description of Renewal project: Is performance meeting expectations?		
Score given according to how well the project design demonstrates: Understanding of the purpose of infrastructure projects (i.e. Coordinated Entry and HMIS) Type, scale, and target geographic area for the project Understanding of HUD expectations for either Coordinated Entry or HMIS Performance measures that meet or exceed HUD or CoC benchmarks	15	
1.b. Description of New or Bonus projects: Does the project proposed meet the infrastructure needs of the CoC?		
Score given according to how well the project design demonstrates: Outline of project start-up (i.e. needs, potential timelines) Understanding of the purpose of infrastructure projects (i.e. Coordinated Entry and HMIS) Type, scale, and target geographic area for the project Understanding of HUD expectations for either Coordinated Entry or HMIS Similar performance in other projects that meet or exceed HUD or CoC benchmarks	15	
Section II: Performance and Improvement		Possible Points: 50
Section Score:		
3. List the outcomes reported to HUD for this project and describe the project's progress in reaching those outcomes.		
Score given according to selected outcomes' alignment with either: System Performance Measures (HMIS) or Coordinated Entry Self-Assessment Tool, as provided by HUD	10	
4. Does the project have a continual quality improvement plan or process?		
Score given according to detail provided in plan/process: References to data collection and review Incorporation of qualitative observations into plan/process Gathered findings from plan/process incorporated into LPB decision-making	10	
5. How does the project ensure alignment in practices with both HUD and CoC priorities?		
Must include references to HUD and BoS CoC language specific to infrastructure project type	10	
6. How does/how will the project's progress toward outcomes support the efforts of permanent housing projects throughout the CoC?		

Score according to how well the applicant can demonstrate the impact of quality infrastructure on outcomes for permanent housing throughout the CoC.	10	
7c. Expenditure of Funds		
100%	10	
95-99%	5	
Less than 95%	0	
8. Does the recipient have any HUD monitoring findings in any of the agency's projects? If yes, findings must be resolved or explained to the satisfaction of the Funding Review Panel for the application to meet standards.	Standard Met: Yes/No	

Section IV: Organizational Capacity	Possible Points: 20	Section Score:
9. Describe agency key staff positions and qualifications of individuals who will carry out the project	10	
10. Describe the agency's financial management system, including financial reporting, record keeping, accounting systems, payment procedures, procurement processes, and audit requirements.	10	

Section V: Financial Information	Possible Points: 40	Section Score:
11. Do project costs appear reasonable when compared to project costs of similar project types?	5	
12. Audit		
a. Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices	3	
b. Most recent audit identified agency as "low risk"	3	
c. Most recent audit indicates no findings	4	
13. Documented match amount	5	
14. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable	20	

Completion of Application	Possible Points: 0 Deductions: -10	Section Score:
Are all required attachments provided?		
Yes	0	
No	-5	
Is the application complete and accurate?		
Yes	0	
No	-5	



FY18 HUD COC PROGRAM COMPETITION NEW/BONUS PROJECT SCORECARD HOUSING PROJECTS

Applicant and Project Name: Click or tap here to enter text.

Rater Name: Click or tap here to enter text.

Date Reviewed: Click or tap to enter a date.

Project Quality Requirements			
All new and bonus projects must pass threshold requirements before being considered for funding on the merit of the project. Threshold requirements are pass/fail rather than scored.	Maximum Score Possible	150	
	Total Project Score		
	Minimum Threshold Score	105	
Section I: Design of Housing and Supportive Services		Possible Points: 25	Section Score:
1.a. Description of project: Does it meet the needs of the community and persons experiencing homelessness?			
Score given according to how well the project design demonstrates: Understanding of the needs of the target population to be served Type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of those to be served Type and scale of supportive services, regardless of funding source How assistance in obtaining mainstream benefits is provided Performance measures that meet or exceed HUD HEARTH or CoC benchmarks	15		
1.b. Description of the plan to assist in participants securing and maintaining PH that is safe, affordable, accessible and acceptable to their needs.	5		
1.c. Description of how participants will be assisted to rapidly increase employment and/or income to maximize their ability to live independently	5		
Section II: Experience		Possible Points: 40	Section Score:
2. Experience of the applicant (and any sub-recipients) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.			
3. Description of experience with utilizing a Housing First approach			
Include eligibility criteria, process for accepting new participants, process and criteria for exiting participants. Must demonstrate that there are no preconditions to entry (substance use, income, criminal records, marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity). Must show able to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated only in most severe cases.	10		
4. Description of applicant experience in utilizing federal funds.			
Score according to how well the applicant can demonstrate past proficiency in utilizing federal funds.	5		
5. Description of the determination of type, amount and duration of rental assistance for participants.			
Answers should explain use of the progressive engagement and strength based philosophies as well as plans to prevent persons from exiting into homelessness or becoming homeless post project exit.	10		

Section III: Project Effectiveness	Possible Points: 25	Section Score:
6. Does the project commit to taking all referrals through the Local Planning Body's Coordinated Entry process? (Pass/Fail)	5/0	
7. What would be the prioritization process for households referred to this project? How will it be determined who is most vulnerable and the best fit for any referrals to this project? Provide detail from policy established by the Local Planning Body.		
Score according to how well the applicant can demonstrate understanding of prioritization and pairing households based on project eligibility.	5	
8. Will all participating households served in this project be recorded in HMIS or an equivalent database for Domestic Violence, in accordance with the Balance of State CoC's Data Quality Standards? (Pass/Fail)	5/0	
9. Description of a plan for rapid implementation of the project, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days and 180 days after grant award.	10	

Section IV: Organizational Capacity	Possible Points: 20	Section Score:
10. Describe agency key staff positions and qualifications of individuals who will carry out the project	10	
11. Describe the agency's financial management system, including financial reporting, record keeping, accounting systems, payment procedures, procurement processes, and audit requirements.	10	

Section V: Financial Information	Possible Points: 40	Section Score:
12. Do project costs appear reasonable when compared to project costs of similar project types?	5	
13. Audit		
a. Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices	3	
b. Most recent audit identified agency as "low risk"	3	
c. Most recent audit indicates no findings	4	
14. Documented match amount (Pass/Fail)	5/0	
15. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable	20	

Completion of Application	Possible Points: 0	Section Score:
Deductions: -10		
Are all required attachments provided?		
Yes	0	
No	-5	
Is the application complete and accurate?		
Yes	0	
No	-5	