

FY2023 HUD COC PROGRAM COMPETITION RENEWAL PROJECT SCORECARD HOUSING PROJECTS

Applicant and Project Name: Click here to enter text.

Rater Name: Click here to enter text.

Date Reviewed: Click or tap to enter a date.

Project Quality Requirements		
Renewal projects must ensure they continue to meet HUD thresholds for funding.	Maximum Score Possible	250(DV) 240 (NonDV)
	Total Project Score	
	Score Percentage	

Please note that the numbered questions on the application correspond with the numbered scoring areas below.

Section I: Project Performance	Possible Points: 120/130 Possible Deductions:	Section Score:
1. Acceptable organizational audit/financial review?		
Yes	5	
No	0	
2. Were draws completed at least quarterly in LOCCS?		
Yes	5	
No	0	
3. What is the project's utilization rate?	Γ	
90% or higher	5	
80-89%	2.5	
Less than 80%	0	
4. Quarterly Scorecard		
4a. Data Quality		
Max Points: 34	34	
4b. Project Performance (SPMs)	•	
Max Points: 66	66	
5. Quarterly Scorecard Improvement Rate (NA in 2023)		
6. Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit		
Yes	5	
No	0	
DV Projects Only		
7. Does the project have adequate processes in place to ensure and increase t	he safety of project	participants?
Yes	1-5	
No	0	
8. Extent of which the applicant uses a trauma-informed, survivor-centered ap	-	lelivery
Yes	1-5	

No	0	

Section II: Recipient Performance	Possible Points: 90	Section Score:
Serving High Need Populations		
9. What percentage of the households were in the high need population defin start/entry? (APR 18)	ed as having zero iı	ncome at
70% or more	10	
60%-69%	8	
40%-59%	5	-
Less than 40% 10. What percentage of participants were in the high need population defined mental health conditions known at Start/entry? (APR 13.A.2)	0 as having two or n	nore physical or
More than 50%	10	
30%-49%	8	1
20%-29%	5	1
Less than 20%	0	1
11a. What percentage of the households served were in the high need popula homeless? (APR Q26a.)	tion defined as chr	onically
PSH: 90%, RRH: 75% or more	10	
PSH: 70-%79%, RRH: 50-74%	8	-
PSH: 60%-69%, RRH: 25-49%	5	-
PSH: Less than 40%, RRH: Less than 24%	0	
12. Applicant participates in CoC Committees beyond the Local Planning Body	1	
Yes	5	
No	0	
13. What has the applicant done to reduce barriers to services and successful attention paid to barriers for BIPOC, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities?	outcomes for all pa	rticipants with
Dedicated effort with measured outcomes	6 - 8	
Some effort, some outcomes noted	2-5	-
Little to no effort	0-1	
14. How has the applicant improved practices to review project outcomes with		s?
Dedicated effort with measured outcomes	6-8	-
Some effort, some outcomes noted Little to no effort	2-5 0-1	
15. What has the applicant done to increase racial and ethnic diversity within s Board positions?		, including
Dedicated effort with measured outcomes	6 - 8	
Some effort, some outcomes noted	2-5]
Little to no effort	0-1	
16. Does the applicant explain an adequate process for receiving and incorpor participants?	ating feedback fror	n project
Dedicated effort with measured outcomes	6 - 8	
Some effort, some outcomes noted	2-5	
Little to no effort	0-1	

17. Does the applicant have adequate participation in the agency and the project from homeless and formerly homeless persons currently and future plans to improve?

		tormenty nomeless persons currently and ruture plans to improve:
	2.6 - 8	All of the criteria are met
	0.5 - 2.5	A large amount of the criteria are met
	0	Some of the criteria are met
		Narrative review: Does the narrative warrant further consideration in
		scoring?
		18. Eviction and Project Termination Prevention
	2.6 - 5	All of the criteria are met
	0.5 - 2.5	A large amount of the criteria are met
	0	Some of the criteria are met
		Narrative review: Does the narrative warrant further consideration in
		scoring?
		19. Caseload Size
	5	Caseload size is 25:1 or less
	2.5	Caseload size is greater than 25:1
	0	Caseload size is greater than 30:1
		Narrative review: Does the narrative warrant further consideration in
		scoring?
online training	commended onlir	20. Does the applicant detail how all project staff to complete all MIBOSCOC remodules for the project type?
	2.6 - 5	Yes
	0.5 - 2.5	In Planning
	0	No

Section III: Project Description	Possible Points: 30	Section Score:
21. Project Narrative (score on a range 10-0)	•	•
Clear description of what the project does/will do	10	
Missing key points	5	
Unclear description of the project	0	
22. What is the project's target population?		
		Not Scored
23. Low Barrier/Housing First		
Yes	10	
Partial	0	
No		
24. Coordinated Entry Referrals	-	
All	5	
Some-None	0	
25b. Subrecipient Monitoring	-	
All of the criteria are met	2.5 - 5	
A large amount of the criteria are met	1 - 2.5	
Some of the criteria are met	0 - 1	
26. Supportive Services		
		Not Scored

27. Has your agency participated in the PSH Cohort Sessions?		
Yes	0	
Some	-5	
No/None	-10	
28.Units in Counties, Number Served	L	
Same or more served than the number projected	0	
Fewer served than number projected	-5	
	Possible	Section
Section IV: Requested Funds	Points:0 Deductions: -5 -	Score:
29. Requested Funds Full Budget	I	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		Not Scored
30. Match		
Yes	0	
No	-5	
	Possible Points:	Section
Section V: Application	0	Score:
	Deductions: -15	
32. Complete Attachments?		
Yes	0	
No	-5	
33. Application in on or before the due date?	1	
Yes	0	
No	-5	
34. Are the answers complete and correct based on attachments?	1	
Yes	0	
No	-5	