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|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Project Quality Requirements** |  | | | |
| Infrastructure projects must ensure they continue to meet HUD thresholds for funding. | Maximum Score Possible | | 180 | |
| Total Project Score | |  | |
| Score Percentage | |  | |
| Operating months within the pandemic (March 2020-present) | | |  | |
| **Section I: General Project Information** | | Possible Points:  35 | | Section Score: |
| 1a. **Renewal or Expansion Only**: Project description - Is performance meeting expectations? | | | | |
| Answer must satisfy requirements in Section V.C.3.c.of the NOFO for their project type.  Score given according to how well the project design demonstrates:  Understanding of the purpose of infrastructure projects (i.e. Coordinated Entry and HMIS)  Review of project performance and goals  Understanding of HUD expectations for either Coordinated Entry or HMIS | | 15 | |  |
| 1b. **New or Bonus Only**: Does the project proposed meet the infrastructure needs of the CoC? | | | | |
| Answer must satisfy requirements in Section V.C.3.c.of the NOFO for their project type.  Score given according to how well the project design demonstrates:  Outline of scope and identified need  Planned activities for the project  Type, scale, and target geographic area for the project  Intended goals and outcomes  Understanding of HUD and CoC expectations for either Coordinated Entry or HMIS | | 15 | |  |
| 4. **Expansion Only**: In what ways would this project increase grant activities within the CoC geographic area? Include specific outputs or process deliverables. | | | | |
| Score given according to how well the applicant details the following:  Increases to project-appropriate outputs (HMIS vs Coordinated Entry)  Increases to or improvement of process deliverables (training, coordination) | | 10 | |  |
| 5. **Expansion Only**: Why is this Expansion necessary for consideration? Detail the identified need based on relevant data or process information, HUD guidance, or informed consultation. | | | | |
| Score given according to how well the applicant details the following:  Data source and information that defines need for expansion  Specific HUD guidance and best practices that necessitate an expanded project  Evidence from consultation completed on behalf of the project | | 10 | |  |
| 6. **New or Bonus Only**: Why is this New or Bonus project necessary for consideration. Detail the identified need based on relevant data or process information, HUD guidance, or informed consultation. | | | | |
| Score given according to how well the applicant details the following:  Data source and information that defines need for project  Specific HUD guidance and best practices that necessitate a project  Evidence from consultation completed on behalf of the project | | 20 | |  |
| **Section II: Performance and Improvement** | | Possible Points: 100 | | Section Score: |
| 7a. **Renewal or Expansion Only**: List the outcomes reported to HUD for this project and describe the project’s progress in reaching those outcomes. | | | | |
| Score given according to selected outcomes’ alignment with either:  System Performance Measures (HMIS) or  Coordinated Entry Self-Assessment Tool, as provided by HUD | | 20 | |  |
| 7b. **New or Bonus Only**: List the outcomes that will be reported to HUD for this project and describe how the projects intends to reach these outcomes. | | | | |
| Score given according to selected outcomes’ alignment with either:  System Performance Measures (HMIS) or  Coordinated Entry Self-Assessment Tool, as provided by HUD | | 20 | |  |
| 8. Does the project have a Continuous Quality Improvement plan or process? | | | | |
| Score given according to detail provided in plan/process:  References to data collection and review  Incorporation of qualitative observations into plan/process  Gathered findings shared with BoS CoC and LPBs | | 15 | |  |
| 9. How does the project ensure alignment in practices with both HUD and CoC priorities? | | | | |
| Include HUD NOFO Priorities of ending homelessness for all persons, Housing First approach, reducing unsheltered homelessness, improving system performance, partnering with health, housing and service agencies, racial equity, and persons with lived experience. | | 15 | |  |
| 10. How does/how will the project’s progress toward outcomes support the efforts of permanent housing projects throughout the CoC? | | | | |
| Score according to how well the applicant can demonstrate the impact of quality infrastructure on outcomes for permanent housing throughout the CoC. | | 15 | |  |
| 11. How does this project incorporate equity into the work it does in accomplishing its set goals and tasks? | | | | |
| Score according to steps taken by applicant to include persons with lived experience, leadership by BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation, policies and procedures reviewed through equity lens, data disaggregated, plan to create more equitable project outcomes, etc | | 15 | |  |
| 12a. **Renewal or Expansion Only**: Expenditure of Funds from Last Project Year (Completed or Partial) | | | | |
| 100% | | 20 | |  |
| 90-99% | | 10 | |
| Less than 90% | | 0 | |
| 12b. **New or Bonus Only**: Describe the process in place to ensure that the project, if awarded by HUD, is fully expended by the end of the project year and that drawdowns from the electronic Line of Credit Control System (eLOCCS) are completed on a quarterly basis. | | | | |
| Score according to how well the applicant identifies the following:  Plans for full expenditure of awarded project  Plans for completing quarterly drawdowns in eLOCCS | | 20 | |  |
| 13. **Renewal Only**: Will the project voluntarily reallocate? | | | | |
| Yes/No | |  | | *Not Scored* |
| 14a. and 14b. Does the applicant have any HUD monitoring findings in any of its projects? If yes, findings must be resolved or explained to the satisfaction of the HUD CoC Application and Evaluation Committee for the application to meet standards. | | Standard Met:  Yes/No | |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Section IV: Organizational Capacity** | Possible Points: 45 | Section Score: |
| 15. Describe the key staff positions and qualifications of individuals who will carry out the project | 15 |  |
| 16. Describe the applicant’s financial management system, including financial reporting, record keeping, accounting systems, payment procedures, procurement processes, and audit requirements. | 15 |  |
| 17. Does the recipient or subrecipients have a person or persons who have experienced homelessness on their Board currently? If not, what is the plan to add a person with lived expertise by the time project funding is received? | 15 |  |
| **Completion of Application** | Possible Points: 0  Deductions: -5 | Section Score: |
| Is the application complete and accurate? |  |  |
| Yes | 0 |
| No | -5 |