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|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Project Quality Requirements** |  | | | |
| All new and bonus projects must pass threshold requirements before being considered for funding on the merit of the project. Threshold requirements are pass/fail rather than scored. | Maximum Score Possible | | 125 | |
| Total Project Score | |  | |
| Minimum Threshold Score | | 87.5 | |
| **Section I: Project Overview** | | Possible Points:  15 | | Section Score: |
| 1.a. Description of Renewal project: Is performance meeting expectations? | | | | |
| Score given according to how well the project design demonstrates:  Understanding of the purpose of infrastructure projects (i.e. Coordinated Entry and HMIS)  Type, scale, and target geographic area for the project  Understanding of HUD expectations for either Coordinated Entry or HMIS  Performance measures that meet or exceed HUD or CoC benchmarks | | 15 | |  |
| 1.b. Description of New or Bonus projects: Does the project proposed meet the infrastructure needs of the CoC? | | | | |
| Score given according to how well the project design demonstrates:  Outline of project start-up (i.e. needs, potential timelines)  Understanding of the purpose of infrastructure projects (i.e. Coordinated Entry and HMIS)  Type, scale, and target geographic area for the project  Understanding of HUD expectations for either Coordinated Entry or HMIS  Similar performance in other projects that meet or exceed HUD or CoC benchmarks | | 15 | |  |
| **Section II: Performance and Improvement** | | Possible Points: 50 | | Section Score: |
| 3. List the outcomes reported to HUD for this project and describe the project’s progress in reaching those outcomes. | | | | |
| Score given according to selected outcomes’ alignment with either:  System Performance Measures (HMIS) or  Coordinated Entry Self-Assessment Tool, as provided by HUD | | 10 | |  |
| 4. Does the project have a continual quality improvement plan or process? | | | | |
| Score given according to detail provided in plan/process:  References to data collection and review  Incorporation of qualitative observations into plan/process  Gathered findings from plan/process incorporated into LPB decision-making | | 10 | |  |
| 5. How does the project ensure alignment in practices with both HUD and CoC priorities? | | | | |
| Must include references to HUD and BoS CoC language specific to infrastructure project type | | 10 | |  |
| 6. How does/how will the project’s progress toward outcomes support the efforts of permanent housing projects throughout the CoC? | | | | |
| Score according to how well the applicant can demonstrate the impact of quality infrastructure on outcomes for permanent housing throughout the CoC. | | 10 | |  |
| 7c. Expenditure of Funds | | | | |
| 100% | | 10 | |  |
| 95-99% | | 5 | |  |
| Less than 95% | | 0 | |  |
| 8. Does the recipient have any HUD monitoring findings in any of the agency’s projects? If yes, findings must be resolved or explained to the satisfaction of the Funding Review Panel for the application to meet standards. | | Standard Met:  Yes/No | |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Section IV: Organizational Capacity** | Possible Points: 20 | Section Score: |
| 9. Describe agency key staff positions and qualifications of individuals who will carry out the project | 10 |  |
| 10. Describe the agency’s financial management system, including financial reporting, record keeping, accounting systems, payment procedures, procurement processes, and audit requirements. | 10 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Section V: Financial Information** | Possible Points: 40 | Section Score: |
|  | | |
| 11. Do project costs appear reasonable when compared to project costs of similar project types? | 5 |  |
| 12. Audit | | |
| a. Most recent audit found no exceptions to standard practices | 3 |  |
| b. Most recent audit identified agency as “low risk” | 3 |  |
| c. Most recent audit indicates no findings | 4 |  |
| 13. Documented match amount | 5 |  |
| 14. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable | 20 |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Completion of Application** | Possible Points: 0  Deductions: -10 | Section Score: |
| Are all required attachments provided? | | |
| Yes | 0 |  |
| No | -5 |
| Is the application complete and accurate? | | |
| Yes | 0 |  |
| No | -5 |  |